

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.16059 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: October 10, 2006

Horam

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Haryana Urban Development Authority and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of Court, Shri Ashok Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner claims himself to be an oustee and as such he is entitled to allotment of plot under the oustee's quota. He claims that after the acquisition of his land, he had applied for allotment of the aforesaid plot, although a long period had elapsed but the necessary relief to the petitioner has not been granted so far.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the record of the case, we dispose of the present

petition with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation within a period of three weeks from the date a certified copy of this order is received, before the Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Faridabad. Alongwith the aforesaid representation, the petitioner shall be required to annex all the necessary documents. If any such representation is filed by the petitioner, then the Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Faridabad shall take a final decision upon the aforesaid representation within a period of three months from the date a certified copy of this order is received, by passing a detailed speaking order.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

October 10, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge